

REMARKS

I. General

Claims 1, 3-10 and 12 are pending in the present application.

Claims 2-4, 9 and 11 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1, 5-8, 10, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momtaz et al. (U.S. Patent Number 7,263,151) in view of Mill et al. (U.S. Patent Number 7,069,488).

By this response, Claims 2, 11 and 13 have been cancelled, and Claims 1, 3, 9 and 10 have been amended. No new subject matter has been added.

II. Objection of Claims 2-4, 9 and 11

Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to include allowable subject matter in Claims 2 and 11 respectively. Claims 3 and 9 have been amended to depend from the amended Claim 1.

III. Rejection of Claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momtaz et al. (US7,263,151) in view of Moll et al. (US 7,069,488)

Claim 12

Applicants submit that Claim 12 includes the subject matter in the amended Claim 10, and should thus be similarly allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments set forth herein, it is respectfully submitted that the grounds for the Examiner's objections and rejections have been overcome. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1, 3-10 and 12 should be found to be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

/Marc Bobys/

Marc Bobys
Reg. No. 45,267
MB/SWN

Tel.: +65 6215 8672

Dated: June 25, 2009

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Legal Department, M/S DL 429
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 7599
Loveland, Colorado 80537-0599